(c) what are the points discussed at the conference held at London in June, 1990; and

(d) India's contribution at the conference and action taken on the recommendation of the deliberations?

THE MINISTER OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (SHRI NILA-MANI ROUTRAY) : (a) The list of the countries which participated in the Montreal Protocol Conference of 1987, is annexed. (See below)

(b) The record of discussions which took place in the 1987 Montreal Protocol Conference is summed up in the document titled "Montreal Protocol on substances that deplete the Ozone Layer", copies of which are placed at the Parliament Library for perusal of the Hon'ble Member of Parliament.

(c) A copy of the record of the discussions held at the London Conference in June, 1990 has been place-ed at the Parliament Library for perusal by the Hon'ble Member of Parliament.

(d) India played a leading role in voicing the concerns of the developing countries with regard to adjustments and amendments to the Montreal Protocol. In the light of the decisions arrived at the London Conference, the Government is considering the issue of joining the Montreal Protocol.

Statement

Countries which participated in the 1987 *Montreal Protocol conference*

Algeria, Argentina, Australia, Aus tria, Belgium, Brazil, Burkins Faso, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Re public, Canada, Chile, China, Colom bia, Congo, Costa Rica, Czechoslova kia, Denmark Democratic Yemen, Egypt, Finland, France, Germany-Federal Republic of, Ghana, Greece, Indonesia, Israel, Italy, Japan, Kenya, Korea-Republic of, Lurembourg, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Morocco, Netherlands, New Zealand, Nigeria, Norway, Panama, F'eru, Philippines, Portugal, Senegal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, Togo, Tunisia, Ujganda, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America, Venezuela.

Countries which attended the proceedings of the conference as observers:

Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Hungary, India, Kuwait, Poland

AID from world bank for upgradation polytechnique

1435. SHRI KRISHAN LAL SHAR-MA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a)- whether Government have decided to upgrade the polytechnique spread over in various States;

(b) whether any aid had been sought from the World Bank in this regard; and

(c) if so, the quantum of aid re ceived and its distribution, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RE SOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA) : (a) to (c) The World Bank has agreed to pro vide assistance for a project for up grading technician (polytechnic) edu cation in the country. For Phase I of the project, the duration of which i_s 1990-1997, the World Bank assis tance will be US 260 million (IDA Credit-US 235 million and IBRD Loan - US 25 million) covering polytechnics approved by AICTE in the States of Bihar, Gujarat, Karna-taka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Oris-sa, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh. The expenditure proposed to be in curred during the first Ave years by

the States under the project is as follows:

Bihar	Rs. 88 crores
Gujarat	Rs. 65 crores
Karnataka	Rs. 50 crores
Kerala	Rs. 34 crores
Madhya Pradesh	Rs. 100 crores
Orissa	Rs. 57 crores
Rajasthan	Rs. 45 crores
Uttar Pradesh	Rs. 200 crores.

The States will get reimbursement of about 70 per cent of total expenditure on admissible items.

Commemorative Volume on pt. G. Bu Pant .

1436. MISS SAROJ KHAPARDE: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleas ed to state:

(a) whether special articles/reminiscenes were invited during the cen tenary year of Pt. Gobind Ballabh Pant from eminent and distinguished persons for inclusion in a commemo rative volume to be brought out shortly;

(b) if so, the said volume has since been finalised;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor giving the names of persons entrusted with the job and action taken against the defaulters; and

(d)' whether the proposal has been dropped?

THE MINISTER OP STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA) (a) and

(b) Yes. Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) No, Sir.

1437. *[Transferred to the* 29th *August,* 1990]

Causes of delay in the implementation of National literacy mission through Nehru Yuva Sangathan

1438. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Adult Education Officers/Youth Co ordinators/APO who did not get the money directly from Government are not responsible for delay in imple mentation of National Literacy Mis sion project but the Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan itself who receiv ed the money/project from the Minis try and had have full control of the budget;

(b) whether it *fo* also a fact that the Director General of Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan is the main per son responsible for the delay caused and breaking the cycle of success of the programme through Nehru Yuva Kendra in India and making Instruc tor and other staff out of job;

(c) if so, the details thereof; and

(d) whether Government propose to get the National Literacy Mission Project implemented through Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan and those persons who were employed earlier would be continued to be employed: stating since how long the scheme would be continued through Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan?